BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

GUJUMLI AVLIYO IN UZBEKISTAN: ORAL HISTORY, SACRED LANDSCAPES, AND THE CONSERVATION OF BIOCULTURAL HERITAGE

Jahongir Yorqulov
Zarafshon City Administration, Uzbekistan
E-mail: mr.yorqulov.9839@gmail.com
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23532343

Abstract: Sacred places often represent an important intersection of collective memory, spiritual values, local ecological knowledge, and the historical relationship between communities and their natural environment. Despite their cultural significance, many locally revered sites remain insufficiently documented and lack integrated approaches to heritage conservation. This article examines Gujumli Avliyo, a sacred place associated with the Aktov area of the Tomdi region of Uzbekistan's Kyzylkum landscape, through the perspectives of oral history, sacred natural sites, and biocultural heritage. The study is based on qualitative document analysis of a local historical narrative and a comparative interpretation of international heritage-conservation frameworks developed by UNESCO and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). The source narrative associates the site with the memory of Oltiboy Bobo, also known as Gujumli Avliyo, and describes a spring, an old cemetery, gujum trees, local traditions, and community-led restoration activities. The analysis identifies three interconnected dimensions of heritage value: historical and commemorative significance, spiritual and social meaning, and the relationship between cultural memory and the natural landscape. It also identifies documentation gaps concerning chronology, oral testimony, botanical identification, and the present ecological condition of the site. The article argues that sustainable conservation should combine oral-history documentation, community participation, landscape protection, and evidence-based visitor management. By interpreting a locally significant site through an international biocultural heritage framework, the study offers a preliminary model for documenting and safeguarding sacred landscapes in arid regions of Central Asia.

Keywords: Gujumli Avliyo, Uzbekistan, oral history, sacred natural sites, biocultural heritage, cultural landscape, community participation, heritage conservation.

1. Introduction

Cultural heritage encompasses not only monuments, architectural structures, and officially recognized historical sites but also places whose significance is preserved through collective memory, spiritual beliefs, customary practices, and relationships with the natural environment. Such places may include sacred springs, cemeteries, trees, caves, pilgrimage destinations, and landscapes associated with respected historical or religious figures. Their importance often extends beyond physical structures because communities attach meanings, memories, and identities to the places themselves.

International heritage scholarship increasingly recognizes that cultural and natural heritage cannot always be understood as separate categories. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) emphasizes the cultural and spiritual significance of nature and the importance of incorporating these values into protected-area governance and management [2]. Similarly, UNESCO recognizes oral traditions, community knowledge, and practices concerning nature as important dimensions of intangible cultural heritage [3].

Sacred natural sites are particularly relevant to this approach. They may preserve historical memories, traditional ecological knowledge, and cultural practices while also contributing to the social recognition of particular landscapes. However, their conservation requires sensitivity to local beliefs, community rights, historical uncertainty, and the ecological characteristics of the site. International guidance on sacred natural sites therefore emphasizes cooperation with local custodians, recognition of cultural values, and the integration of these places into appropriate management arrangements [4].

These issues are relevant to the study of Gujumli Avliyo, a locally revered place associated with Oltiboy Bobo in the Aktov area of the Tomdi region of Uzbekistan. A historical narrative by Muzaffar Muqimov describes the site through accounts attributed to local informants and the family memories of Berikboy Sogindik ugli. The narrative connects the place with a spring, an old cemetery, gujum trees, caves, a walking stick associated with Oltiboy Bobo, and community efforts to restore and maintain the area [1].

According to this account, Oltiboy Bobo arrived in the area in 1838 and became associated with the restoration of the grave of Uzgan Avliyo. The narrative states that he planted gujum trees on the surrounding rocky slopes, contributing to the local name "Gujumli Avliyo." It also records community initiatives to improve the site and preserve its historical memory. These details constitute an important basis for examining how a local landscape acquires and maintains cultural significance through oral transmission and community practices.

Nevertheless, a distinction must be made between documented historical evidence and remembered or transmitted narratives. The source provides valuable information about local historical memory, but it does not independently establish every reported date, event, or biographical detail. Its academic value therefore lies not only in the historical claims it contains but also in the way it records the relationship between community identity, spiritual tradition, and place.

The broader research problem concerns how locally transmitted knowledge about sacred places can be documented and interpreted without either dismissing community traditions or presenting unverified narratives as conclusively established history.

The aim of this article is to analyze Gujumli Avliyo as a potential biocultural heritage site and to identify approaches for documenting and conserving its historical, spiritual, and landscape-related values.

The study addresses three research questions:

1. What historical, spiritual, and landscape-related values are represented in the available narrative about Gujumli Avliyo?
2. How can oral history contribute to the documentation and interpretation of sacred landscapes in the context of international heritage-conservation principles?
3. What measures could support the responsible conservation and intergenerational transmission of the site's heritage values?

The article contributes a preliminary case-study perspective by connecting a local Uzbek historical narrative with international discussions of sacred natural sites, intangible cultural heritage, and community-based conservation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research design

The study employs a qualitative case-study approach based on documentary analysis and interpretive comparison. It does not claim to provide a comprehensive archaeological investigation, a verified biography of Oltiboy Bobo, or an ecological assessment of the site. Instead, it examines

the information contained in the available historical narrative and interprets its significance through established heritage-conservation concepts.

The principal case-study source is Muzaffar Muqimov's narrative, "Gujumli Avliyo Kim Bo'lgan?" [1]. The document records information attributed to Ibadulla Tulaganov and family recollections associated with Berikboy Sogindik ugli, whose father, Sogindik Musirboy ugli, reportedly maintained connections with the site during the twentieth century.

The study also draws on international guidance concerning the cultural and spiritual significance of nature, sacred natural sites, and intangible cultural heritage [2–4]. These documents provide analytical concepts for interpreting the local narrative, rather than independent evidence confirming the specific historical claims about Gujumli Avliyo.

2.2. Documentary analysis

The source narrative was examined for recurring themes and descriptions relating to:

- historical chronology and personal memory;
- sacredness and spiritual interpretation;
- the relationship between the site and its natural surroundings;
- trees, water, caves, and the old cemetery;
- community participation in restoration and maintenance;
- the transmission of material objects and stories across generations.

These themes were organized into three analytical categories: historical and commemorative value, spiritual and social value, and natural-landscape value.

The purpose of this categorization was to identify the different ways in which the site is represented in the narrative and to examine how these representations relate to established approaches to biocultural heritage.

2.3. Comparative interpretive framework

The documentary findings were compared with three international heritage principles.

First, the IUCN framework on the cultural and spiritual significance of nature emphasizes the importance of recognizing relationships between natural features, cultural meanings, and community identity [2].

Second, UNESCO's approach to intangible cultural heritage recognizes oral traditions, memories, and community knowledge as significant forms of cultural transmission [3].

Third, the IUCN–UNESCO guidance on sacred natural sites highlights the importance of collaboration with site custodians, community participation, and the protection of cultural and spiritual values [4].

These principles were used to identify potential conservation priorities for Gujumli Avliyo. The resulting recommendations are analytical proposals, not findings from a field-tested management programme.

2.4. Methodological limitations

The study is limited by the availability of a single narrative document. The original dates of the accounts, the circumstances in which individual testimonies were collected, and the existence of independent archival corroboration are not fully established in the available material.

No new interviews, archival searches, archaeological surveys, botanical assessments, or ecological measurements were conducted for this article. Consequently, the study does not establish the current condition of the site, the botanical identity or age of the trees, the authenticity of the reported walking stick, or the independent historical accuracy of every biographical detail.

These limitations define the scope of the research and indicate priorities for subsequent investigation.

3. Results

3.1. Historical memory and the formation of local identity

The source narrative presents Oltiboy Bobo as the central figure associated with the name Gujumli Avliyo. According to the account, he arrived in the Aktov area in 1838 and became involved in restoring the grave of Uzgan Avliyo. The narrative also reports that he later left the area in 1872 and died in 1898 [1].

These dates establish the chronology presented by the source, but they should be treated as reported local historical information rather than independently verified biographical facts.

The narrative attributes the transmission of these details to several generations of family memory. Berikboy Sogindik ugli reportedly collected information derived from his father, Sogindik Musirboy ugli, and his grandfather, Musirboy. The account states that Musirboy had encountered Oltiboy Bobo while tending sheep in the Karmakshi area of present-day Kazakhstan.

This reported chain of transmission is significant because it illustrates how local historical knowledge may be preserved through family relationships and oral recollection. The narrative does not simply describe a sacred place; it explains how community members understand its origins and associate its identity with a particular individual.

The story of Oltiboy Bobo therefore functions as a form of local historical memory. Its documentation can contribute to understanding how the community interprets the site's past, even where some chronological details require further corroboration.

For academic documentation, three categories should remain distinct: information directly recorded in the source, recollections attributed to named individuals, and interpretations that require independent verification. This distinction would strengthen the reliability of future historical research without diminishing the cultural importance of the narrative.

3.2. Spiritual significance and sacred geography

The source describes Gujumli Avliyo as a place whose identity is associated with the grave of Uzgan Avliyo and the activities of Oltiboy Bobo. It includes a narrative in which Oltiboy Bobo dreams of an elderly woman who identifies herself as the mother of Uzgan Avliyo and asks him to clean the grave.

This account should be understood as a reported spiritual narrative rather than independently verified evidence of a supernatural event. Its analytical relevance lies in the meaning attributed to care for the grave and the transformation of the surrounding area into a respected place.

The narrative also records Oltiboy Bobo's reported advice that visitors should direct their devotion toward the Creator rather than toward him personally [1]. Within the source, this statement presents him as a figure associated with humility and religious devotion.

Such stories can help explain why particular places remain meaningful across generations. Sacredness may be expressed through narratives of care, respect for the deceased, remembrance of religious figures, and customary visits. These meanings can shape community attitudes toward the preservation of graves, trees, and other landscape features.

The case therefore illustrates the importance of recording spiritual narratives in their local context. Their documentation should preserve the language and meaning of community accounts while avoiding unsupported claims about historical events.

3.3. Natural features and the cultural landscape

The source describes several natural and landscape-related elements associated with Gujumli Avliyo: a spring, the rocky slopes of Aktov, gujum trees, small caves, and an old cemetery [1].

The spring is presented as an important reason why the area historically attracted livestock herders. In an arid landscape such as the Kyzylkum, access to water is an important aspect of human and animal movement. The narrative suggests that the spring contributed to the place's practical importance before and alongside its spiritual significance.

This relationship between water, settlement, and memory is a significant feature of the case. The site is represented not only as a place of remembrance but also as part of a landscape that supported earlier patterns of pastoral activity.

The narrative further associates the local name "Gujumli Avliyo" with trees reportedly planted by Oltiboy Bobo around the grave. According to the account, these plantings contributed to the later recognition of the place by the surrounding community.

The botanical identity of the tree described as *gujum* is not established in the available source. A reliable scientific identification would require examination of the living trees or suitable botanical records. Until then, the local name should be retained without assigning a definitive Latin species name.

The relationship between trees and sacred places nevertheless deserves further investigation. Trees may function as living markers of memory, landscape continuity, and community attachment. In this case, the reported planting of trees connects a biographical narrative with the physical appearance and naming of the site.

The available document does not provide sufficient evidence to determine the current ecological condition of the spring, the age of the remaining trees, or the biodiversity value of the surrounding landscape. These aspects should therefore be considered priorities for future field research rather than established results.

3.4. Material objects and the transmission of heritage

Another element of the narrative is a walking stick reportedly associated with Oltiboy Bobo. According to Berikboy Sogindik ugli's account, the object was preserved through his family after being held by his father [1].

The document states that the wood's botanical origin had not been identified. No independent examination, provenance record, or material analysis is provided.

Although its authenticity and age cannot be confirmed from the available information, the walking stick is significant within the narrative because it represents a connection between family memory and the revered figure.

Material objects associated with oral traditions can become important components of local heritage. Their value may arise from their documented provenance, community attribution, historical associations, and role in the transmission of memory.

Responsible documentation would require recording the object's present location, ownership history, physical characteristics, and the accounts associated with it. Any examination or public display should respect the wishes of its custodians and the community's cultural practices.

The walking stick therefore represents a potential subject for further heritage documentation, rather than a verified historical artefact.

3.5. Community-led restoration and the continuity of memory

The source provides a particularly important account of community activity around the site. Ibadulla Tulaganov reportedly described efforts beginning in 2008 to improve the surroundings, restore a small building through collective work, plant trees, establish flowers, and create better conditions for visitors [1].

These activities suggest that the preservation of Gujumli Avliyo is represented in the narrative as an ongoing community responsibility. The place is not treated solely as a remnant of the past; it is also a landscape whose condition depends on contemporary care.

The reported restoration activities connect cultural memory with practical maintenance. The planting of trees and flowers, improvement of visitor facilities, and care for the cemetery may strengthen the community's relationship with the site.

However, the document does not provide independent assessments of the long-term outcomes of these initiatives. It is therefore not possible to determine the extent to which they have improved ecological conditions, increased visitor numbers, or produced measurable conservation benefits.

The evidence nevertheless identifies community participation as a central theme in the source. Future research could investigate how decisions are made, how responsibilities are distributed, and how local custodians understand the relationship between religious respect, historical preservation, and environmental care.

4. Discussion

4.1. Gujumli Avliyo as a biocultural heritage case

The findings indicate that the available narrative represents Gujumli Avliyo through interconnected historical, spiritual, social, and natural values. This combination supports interpreting the site through the concept of biocultural heritage, understood here as the relationship between cultural practices, collective memory, and the natural environment.

The IUCN framework on the cultural and spiritual significance of nature recognizes that landscapes can carry historical, spiritual, social, and aesthetic meanings that are important to communities [2]. The Gujumli Avliyo narrative reflects this relationship by connecting a revered figure with a grave, a spring, trees, caves, and collective acts of care.

The case also illustrates why heritage assessment should not focus exclusively on architectural remains or individual objects. The meaning of the place emerges from relationships among its physical features, the stories associated with them, and the practices through which people maintain the site.

Nevertheless, the biocultural heritage interpretation presented here is a preliminary analytical framework. A full assessment would require consultation with current custodians and residents, documentation of local practices, and a field-based investigation of the landscape.

4.2. Oral history as a source of knowledge

The source demonstrates the potential of oral history to preserve information about places that may not be fully represented in formal archives. The reported transmission of accounts across generations provides a starting point for further investigation into the history and cultural significance of Gujumli Avliyo.

UNESCO's approach to intangible cultural heritage recognizes oral traditions, knowledge concerning nature, and community relationships with places as important areas of cultural transmission [3]. The Gujumli Avliyo narrative is relevant to this framework because it records stories through which a community explains the origin and significance of a particular landscape.

However, oral history should not be treated as interchangeable with documentary or archaeological evidence. Memory can preserve valuable information while also reflecting reinterpretation, selective recollection, and changes in narrative over time.

A stronger historical account would compare oral testimonies with archival records, historical maps, inscriptions, cemetery documentation, and other available evidence. Researchers

should record who provided each account, when it was collected, whether it was transmitted indirectly, and which details remain uncertain.

This approach would allow the cultural value of local narratives to be recognized while maintaining appropriate standards of historical verification.

4.3. Sacred landscapes and environmental conservation

The relationship between Gujumli Avliyo and its natural features raises questions about the conservation of sacred landscapes in arid environments.

The reported importance of the spring, trees, and surrounding terrain suggests that environmental features are integral to the way the place is remembered. Their deterioration could therefore affect not only ecological conditions but also the landscape's cultural meaning.

International guidance on sacred natural sites emphasizes the importance of recognizing cultural and spiritual values in conservation planning and cooperating with communities responsible for these places [4]. Applied cautiously to Gujumli Avliyo, this principle supports an approach that combines the protection of landscape features with respect for religious practices and local custodianship.

Several practical measures could be considered, subject to field assessment:

- documenting the spring and its surrounding environment;
- identifying and recording the gujum trees and other significant vegetation;
- assessing the physical condition of the cemetery and associated structures;
- establishing appropriate waste-management and visitor-care arrangements;
- recording community knowledge about the site's history and natural features.

These proposals should not be interpreted as evidence that the site currently faces specific ecological threats. Rather, they identify areas in which baseline information would be needed before an evidence-based conservation plan could be developed.

4.4. Community participation and ethical heritage management

The account of restoration activities beginning in 2008 suggests that local participation has been important to the site's maintenance. This provides a basis for considering community involvement in any future documentation or conservation programme.

However, community participation should extend beyond providing information to outside researchers. Local custodians and residents should be involved in determining which stories can be recorded, how sensitive places are represented, whether visitor access is appropriate, and how any future tourism-related activity is managed.

The IUCN–UNESCO guidelines on sacred natural sites emphasize respect for custodians and collaboration in the management of places with cultural and spiritual significance [4]. These principles are particularly relevant when a site contains graves, sacred objects, or traditions that may be sensitive to commercialization.

If Gujumli Avliyo is promoted as a cultural destination, its presentation should avoid reducing local religious traditions to entertainment or treating unverified stories as established historical facts. Any visitor interpretation should distinguish documented information from oral accounts and explain the cultural significance of the landscape respectfully.

A community-led approach could help balance heritage visibility with the right of local people to maintain their own relationship with the site.

4.5. Research limitations and future directions

The present study is limited by its reliance on a single narrative document and the absence of independent fieldwork. Its findings describe the representation of Gujumli Avliyo in the available source; they do not constitute a comprehensive history or an ecological assessment.

Future research should address four priorities.

First, oral-history research should be conducted with multiple community members, including current custodians and residents familiar with the site. Interviews should be documented with informed consent and appropriate attribution.

Second, historical research should seek independent corroboration of dates, names, burial traditions, and the reported movement of Oltiboy Bobo between locations.

Third, field-based environmental research should document the spring, vegetation, landform, and present condition of the site. Botanical identification of the gujum tree is particularly important before making species-specific conservation claims.

Fourth, a heritage-management assessment should examine access arrangements, community priorities, visitor needs, and the condition of existing facilities. Any future tourism development should be proportionate to the site's cultural sensitivity and ecological characteristics.

These steps would make it possible to move from a preliminary interpretive case study toward a more comprehensive interdisciplinary assessment.

5. Conclusion

The available narrative about Gujumli Avliyo presents a sacred place in Uzbekistan's Kyzylkum landscape whose significance is associated with historical memory, spiritual tradition, natural features, and community-led care. The story of Oltiboy Bobo connects the site with the grave of Uzgan Avliyo, a spring, gujum trees, an old cemetery, and the transmission of memories and objects across generations.

The analysis demonstrates the potential value of interpreting Gujumli Avliyo through a biocultural heritage framework. Such an approach recognizes that the cultural significance of a place may depend on the interaction between its physical landscape, community narratives, and continuing practices of remembrance and maintenance.

At the same time, the study identifies important limits in the available evidence. The historical chronology, the provenance of the reported walking stick, the botanical identity of the gujum tree, and the present ecological condition of the site require further investigation. These gaps should be addressed through oral-history research, archival verification, community consultation, and field-based documentation.

The central recommendation is that the conservation of Gujumli Avliyo should be based on an integrated approach that respects local spiritual traditions, documents historical knowledge carefully, protects relevant landscape features, and involves community custodians in decision-making.

Although the present article is preliminary, the case illustrates a broader research opportunity: locally revered places can provide valuable perspectives on the relationship between cultural memory and environmental heritage. Their responsible documentation can contribute to the preservation of community identity while supporting more inclusive approaches to heritage conservation in Central Asia.

References

1. Muqimov, M. (n.d.). *Gujumli Avliyo Kim Bo'lgan?* Local historical narrative supplied for the present study. The document records accounts attributed to Ibadulla Tulaganov and recollections associated with Berikboy Sogindik ugli.
2. Verschuuren, B., et al. (2021). *Cultural and Spiritual Significance of Nature: Guidance for Protected and Conserved Area Governance and Management*. IUCN, Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series No. 32. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2021.PAG.32.en

3. UNESCO. (2003). *Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage*. Paris: UNESCO. Available at: https://ich.unesco.org/en/convention
4. Wild, R., & McLeod, C. (Eds.). (2008). *Sacred Natural Sites: Guidelines for Protected Area Managers*. IUCN, Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series No. 16. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. Available at: https://iucn.org/content/sacred-natural-sites-guidelines-protected-area-managers-0