INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY AND ACADEMIC RESEARCH

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

A COMPREHENSIVE MODEL FOR ASSESSING ENTERPRISE VALUE AND INVESTMENT RISKS UNDER ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY

Rafiyeva Zarina Xusanovna
Senior Lecturer, Department of 'Investment and Innovation',
Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service
Axtamov Qamariddin Zakrillo ugli
Student of Samarkand institute of economics and service
doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23555801

Abstract: This article develops a comprehensive model for assessing enterprise value and investment risks under economic uncertainty. It integrates discounted cash flow valuation, scenario analysis, financial stability indicators, and macroeconomic factors to improve investment decision-making. The practical relevance of the proposed model is examined in the context of Uzbekistan's economic statistics and national legislation.
Keywords: enterprise value, economic uncertainty, investment risk, discounted cash flow, financial stability, scenario analysis, investment decision-making.

Annotatsiya: Mazkur maqolada iqtisodiy noaniqlik sharoitida korxonalar qiymati va investitsion risklarni kompleks baholash modeli ishlab chiqilgan. Diskontlangan pul oqimlari, ssenariyli tahlil, moliyaviy barqarorlik ko'rsatkichlari hamda makroiqtisodiy omillar asosida investitsion qarorlar samaradorligini oshirish yo'llari asoslangan. O'zbekistonning iqtisodiy statistikasi va milliy qonunchiligi kontekstida modelning amaliy ahamiyati yoritilgan.
Kalit so'zlar: korxona qiymati, iqtisodiy noaniqlik, investitsion risk, diskontlangan pul oqimlari, moliyaviy barqarorlik, ssenariyli tahlil, investitsion qarorlar.

Аннотация: В статье разработана комплексная модель оценки стоимости предприятий и инвестиционных рисков в условиях экономической неопределённости. На основе дисконтирования денежных потоков, сценарного анализа, показателей финансовой устойчивости и макроэкономических факторов обоснованы подходы к повышению эффективности инвестиционных решений. Раскрыта практическая значимость модели с учётом экономической статистики и законодательства Узбекистана.
Ключевые слова: стоимость предприятия, экономическая неопределённость, инвестиционный риск, дисконтированные денежные потоки, финансовая устойчивость, сценарный анализ, инвестиционные решения.

INTRODUCTION

Enterprise valuation under economic uncertainty is not merely an accounting exercise; it is a forward-looking analytical process that connects expected cash flows, the cost of capital, strategic flexibility, and the probability of adverse economic events. In a relatively stable environment, analysts may estimate future revenues, operating expenses, capital expenditure, and financing costs using historical trends. However, when inflation accelerates, exchange rates fluctuate, borrowing conditions change, or regulatory requirements evolve, historical relationships may become unreliable. Under such circumstances, the value of an enterprise depends not only on its current financial position but also on its capacity to adapt to alternative economic conditions.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

The problem is especially relevant for emerging economies, where firms may face simultaneous exposure to domestic demand fluctuations, imported-input costs, foreign-currency liabilities, infrastructure constraints, and changes in public policy. For enterprises operating in Uzbekistan, these factors must be evaluated alongside the country's transition toward a more market-oriented economic structure, the development of capital markets, and the gradual modernization of corporate governance and financial reporting. A central weakness of conventional valuation practice is the tendency to treat forecasts as single, predetermined outcomes. A discounted cash flow estimate may produce a precise numerical value while concealing substantial uncertainty surrounding the assumptions used to calculate it. If projected cash flows are overstated, the discount rate is underestimated, or the terminal growth rate is inconsistent with long-term economic fundamentals, the resulting valuation may be materially misleading. Similarly, a project with a positive net present value under baseline assumptions may become economically unattractive when financing costs increase or expected sales decline.

The research problem addressed in this article is therefore the absence, in many practical valuation settings, of a unified analytical structure that connects enterprise value estimation with the measurement and aggregation of investment risks. The article seeks to develop a comprehensive model that evaluates enterprise value and investment risk simultaneously rather than treating them as separate analytical tasks. The main research objective is to formulate an integrated assessment model that combines cash-flow-based valuation, macroeconomic scenario analysis, financial vulnerability indicators, and risk-adjusted investment criteria. The analysis is contextualized for Uzbekistan by considering the country's legal framework governing investment activity, accounting, and financial reporting.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Enterprise valuation theory has developed through several complementary approaches, each addressing a different aspect of the relationship between expected economic benefits and uncertainty. The discounted cash flow approach, associated with modern corporate finance, treats enterprise value as the present value of future cash flows discounted at a rate reflecting their timing and risk. Its analytical advantage lies in connecting valuation directly to the enterprise's capacity to generate economic benefits. Its principal limitation is sensitivity to assumptions regarding long-term growth, capital expenditure, working capital, and the cost of capital.

The capital asset pricing model developed by Sharpe and Lintner provides a framework for relating expected returns to systematic market risk. Under this approach, the expected return on an asset depends on the risk-free rate, the asset's sensitivity to market movements, and the market risk premium. However, the model may be insufficient for privately held enterprises or emerging markets where reliable market comparables, liquid securities, and consistent estimates of systematic risk are unavailable. Enterprise-specific risks, including customer concentration, weak liquidity, supplier dependence, and foreign-currency debt, may not be adequately captured by a single market beta.

The resource-based view of the firm, developed by Barney, provides another relevant perspective. It explains how valuable, rare, difficult-to-imitate, and organizationally embedded resources can support sustainable competitive advantages. From a valuation perspective, intangible resources such as technological expertise, established customer relationships, proprietary processes, and organizational knowledge may strengthen future cash flows. Nevertheless, their economic contribution should be distinguished from their accounting recognition. A resource may create substantial competitive value without qualifying for recognition as a separately identifiable asset in financial statements.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

The real-options approach, associated with Dixit and Pindyck, challenges the assumption that investment decisions are necessarily irreversible and should be evaluated only through conventional net present value. Under uncertainty, management may delay investment, expand capacity, abandon an unprofitable project, or switch production technologies. Such flexibility can have economic value. A project with a modest conventional net present value may become attractive when management retains the option to postpone capital expenditure until market conditions improve.

Enterprise risk management extends this reasoning by emphasizing that risks are interconnected. A currency depreciation may increase the cost of imported machinery, raise working-capital requirements, increase inflationary pressure, and influence borrowing costs simultaneously. Measuring these risks independently can therefore underestimate their combined impact. Integrated risk assessment must consider both the probability of individual events and the channels through which those events affect cash flows, financing capacity, and enterprise value.

The Uzbek institutional context makes these theoretical connections particularly important. The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Investments and Investment Activities," No. ZRU-598 of 25 December 2019, establishes the legal framework governing investment activity by domestic and foreign investors. The law defines investment broadly, including tangible and intangible benefits and rights invested under conditions of risk to obtain economic benefits. This provides a relevant legal foundation for evaluating investments not only through their initial expenditure but also through their expected returns and associated uncertainties.

The Law "On Accounting," No. ZRU-404 of 13 April 2016, establishes requirements for the organization of accounting and preparation of financial statements. Its emphasis on financial position, financial performance, and cash-flow information is directly relevant to the proposed model because reliable valuation requires consistent historical financial data and transparent assumptions. Accounting information, however, should be treated as an input to economic valuation rather than a direct substitute for market-based enterprise value.

Macroeconomic statistics further demonstrate why uncertainty-adjusted valuation matters. The World Bank's World Development Indicators report that Uzbekistan's nominal GDP reached approximately USD 147.04 billion in 2025, with reported real GDP growth of 7.7% and consumer price inflation of 8.8%. These figures indicate a growing economy, but they also illustrate the importance of distinguishing real expansion from nominal changes in revenue and asset values. Nominal growth alone does not establish that an individual enterprise has improved its operating efficiency or created additional real economic value.

Official investment statistics reinforce this point. Uzbekistan's fixed-capital investment volume increased from approximately UZS 356.1 trillion in 2023 to UZS 507.5 trillion in 2024 and UZS 603.6 trillion in 2025. The reported annual growth rates were 123.4%, 131.3%, and 113.1%, respectively, where the published growth indicator is expressed as a percentage of the previous year. These figures indicate continued investment expansion, but because the volume series is measured in current prices, it should not be interpreted as a direct measure of real investment growth without accounting for price changes. The Central Bank of Uzbekistan's monetary policy provides another important reference for investment analysis. Its June 2025 policy statement reported that the policy rate remained at 14%, while annual headline inflation in May stood at 8.7%. These are historical, date-specific observations rather than current October 2026 estimates. Nevertheless, they illustrate how the cost of financing and inflation expectations can materially affect the discount rates, borrowing expenses, and expected cash flows used in enterprise valuation.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

The literature and institutional evidence therefore support a model that combines cash-flow valuation with scenario-based risk analysis, explicit macroeconomic assumptions, and a systematic assessment of financial resilience. Such integration is particularly valuable where market data are incomplete and enterprise-specific risks may be more influential than broad market indicators.

METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a conceptual and analytical design based on the synthesis of corporate finance theory, enterprise risk management, official Uzbek statistics, and national legislation. The purpose is to construct an internally consistent model rather than to claim empirical validation from a firm-level dataset that has not been collected. Accordingly, the numerical illustration presented later is hypothetical and is intended to demonstrate the model's operation, not to represent the measured performance of a real Uzbek enterprise.

RESULTS

The main result of the research is a comprehensive enterprise valuation and investment risk assessment model that connects financial performance, macroeconomic uncertainty, operational resilience, and investment decision criteria. Its central principle is that enterprise value should be interpreted as a conditional estimate: its reliability depends on the consistency of assumptions, the quality of financial information, and the range of economic outcomes considered.

The proposed model contains five analytical dimensions. The first is fundamental value, estimated through discounted operating cash flows. The second is macroeconomic exposure, including inflation, interest rates, exchange rates, and changes in aggregate demand. The third is financial resilience, assessed through liquidity, leverage, interest coverage, and debt-servicing capacity. The fourth is operational vulnerability, including customer concentration, supplier dependence, production efficiency, and the flexibility of operating costs. The fifth is investment attractiveness, measured through risk-adjusted returns, downside exposure, and the robustness of net present value under alternative assumptions.

These dimensions should be assessed jointly because the same external shock can affect multiple components of enterprise value. For example, currency depreciation may increase the domestic-currency cost of imported equipment and materials. If the enterprise cannot pass the cost increase to customers, its operating margin may decline. Lower operating cash flow may then reduce interest coverage, increase the probability of refinancing difficulties, and ultimately raise the required return demanded by investors. The model therefore distinguishes between value drivers and risk transmission mechanisms. Value drivers determine the potential generation of future cash flows, whereas risk transmission mechanisms explain how economic shocks alter those cash flows, financing conditions, or the discount rate. This distinction improves the interpretation of valuation results and reduces the likelihood that a risk will be included repeatedly in different model components.

The proposed framework is summarized in the following table:

Assessment dimension	Core indicators	Analytical method	Decision-making contribution
Enterprise value	FCFF, WACC, terminal growth, enterprise value	Discounted cash flow analysis	Estimates fundamental economic value
Macroeconomic risk	Inflation, exchange-rate changes, interest rates, demand growth	Scenario and sensitivity analysis	Identifies exposure to external shocks

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

Financial risk	Debt-to-equity, current ratio, interest coverage, debt-service capacity	Ratio analysis and stress testing	Evaluates solvency and liquidity resilience
Operational risk	Revenue concentration, input-cost sensitivity, operating margins, capacity utilization	Break-even analysis and operating scenarios	Identifies weaknesses in the business model
Investment attractiveness	NPV, expected return, probability of loss, downside deviation	Risk-adjusted investment analysis	Supports investment acceptance, rejection, or restructuring[1]

The table demonstrates that no single indicator is sufficient to determine investment attractiveness. A high projected enterprise value may coexist with weak liquidity, excessive debt, or substantial exposure to imported inputs. Conversely, a project with moderate expected returns may be attractive if its downside exposure is limited and its operating cash flows remain resilient under adverse scenarios.

To demonstrate how the model works, consider a hypothetical Uzbek manufacturing enterprise evaluated over a five-year explicit forecast period. Assume that its baseline free cash flow to the firm in the first forecast year is UZS 12 billion, followed by sustainable growth consistent with the selected economic scenario. For simplicity, assume an illustrative WACC of 18% and a long-term nominal growth rate of 5%. These rates are analytical assumptions rather than estimates of current Uzbek market parameters. Under these assumptions, the terminal value would be calculated using the free cash flow expected in the year following the explicit forecast period. If the fifth-year free cash flow were UZS 20 billion, and the following year's cash flow were UZS 21 billion, the terminal value would be:

The model then considers alternative scenarios. In a favorable scenario, higher demand, improved capacity utilization, and more efficient working-capital management increase projected cash flows. In a baseline scenario, revenue growth and costs evolve according to the most defensible central assumptions. In an adverse scenario, weaker demand, rising imported-input costs, and higher refinancing expenses reduce cash flows and may increase the cost of capital. Each scenario generates a separate enterprise value. The weighted expected value is obtained by multiplying each scenario valuation by its assigned probability and summing the results. The probabilities must reflect documented assumptions, expert judgment, historical evidence, or an explicit forecasting method. They should not be selected merely to produce a preferred investment conclusion.

An important result of this structure is that the expected value alone does not determine whether the investment is acceptable. Two enterprises may have identical expected values but substantially different distributions of outcomes. One may produce relatively stable cash flows, whereas the other may have a high probability of severe losses. An investor with limited borrowing capacity may rationally prefer the more resilient enterprise even if its expected return is marginally lower.

The integrated model uses net present value as the principal investment criterion:

[1] Author's work

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{E(FCF_t)}{(1+r)^t} - I_0 \quad (1)$$

where I_0 represents the initial investment and r is the discount rate appropriate to the cash flows being evaluated.

A positive NPV indicates that the investment is expected to create value relative to the chosen required return, provided that the cash-flow forecasts and discount rate are internally consistent. It does not guarantee that the project will generate a positive realized return. The probability of negative NPV should therefore be assessed across the alternative scenarios. A supplementary downside measure can be expressed as the probability that project value falls below the initial investment or another predefined threshold. For projects with sufficient data, expected shortfall can also be used to estimate the average loss among outcomes in the most adverse portion of the distribution. For smaller enterprises without extensive historical observations, transparent scenario losses may be more appropriate than presenting highly precise statistical risk estimates.

The model also incorporates liquidity and debt-service constraints. A project can exhibit positive NPV while generating insufficient cash to meet payments during its early operating years. This is particularly relevant for capital-intensive enterprises with long construction periods or substantial foreign-currency liabilities. Consequently, the assessment should include projected cash balances, debt maturities, interest coverage, and the timing of capital expenditure. The resulting decision rule is multidimensional. An investment is considered more robust when its expected NPV is positive, its downside exposure is acceptable, its debt-service capacity remains adequate under stress, and its principal value drivers are supported by verifiable operational evidence. If these conditions are not met, management may consider phased investment, alternative financing, currency-risk mitigation, revised project scope, or postponement.

DISCUSSION

The proposed model advances conventional enterprise valuation by recognizing that economic uncertainty affects both the expected level of future cash flows and the distribution of possible outcomes. This distinction is essential because valuation errors may arise not only from inaccurate forecasts but also from inadequate representation of downside risk. The first major implication concerns the relationship between inflation and nominal enterprise value. When prices increase, reported revenues and asset replacement costs may rise even if the enterprise's real productive capacity remains unchanged. If nominal revenue growth is incorporated into cash-flow projections without corresponding adjustments to operating costs, working capital, and capital expenditure, the valuation may overstate sustainable economic performance. Similarly, applying a nominal discount rate to real cash flows, or a real discount rate to nominal cash flows, creates an inconsistency that undermines the valuation. The currency and inflation basis of cash flows must therefore match the discount rate.

The Uzbek macroeconomic environment illustrates the importance of this principle. The reported 8.8% consumer price inflation rate in 2025 and the expansion of fixed-capital investment demonstrate why nominal financial indicators should be interpreted alongside price dynamics and financing conditions. An enterprise whose nominal turnover increases rapidly may still experience pressure on real margins if its input prices rise more quickly than its selling prices. Consequently, the proposed model prioritizes free cash flow and reinvestment requirements over revenue growth as isolated measures of value creation.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

The second implication concerns the cost of capital. A higher policy rate can increase borrowing costs, affect refinancing conditions, and influence the required return on equity. Nevertheless, the policy rate should not be inserted mechanically into WACC as if it were identical to the enterprise's borrowing rate or cost of equity. Actual financing costs also depend on creditworthiness, collateral, loan maturity, currency denomination, lender competition, and enterprise-specific risk. The Central Bank's historical policy-rate decision provides macroeconomic context, but enterprise valuation requires financing assumptions appropriate to the company and valuation date.

The third implication is that diversification and operational flexibility can influence enterprise value independently of current profitability. A business that depends on one major customer or a single foreign supplier may experience substantial cash-flow volatility following a relatively small external shock. By contrast, an enterprise with diversified suppliers, flexible production capacity, manageable debt, and multiple customer segments may preserve its operating margins under comparable conditions. These characteristics can reduce the probability of financial distress and improve the credibility of long-term cash-flow forecasts.

Real-options reasoning provides a further extension. When uncertainty is high and investment is partly irreversible, postponing a project can have value because management acquires additional information before committing capital. Phased investment can also limit the amount exposed to adverse conditions. However, postponement is not always optimal: delays may lead to lost market share, higher construction costs, or the disappearance of favorable commercial opportunities. The model should therefore compare the value of flexibility with the opportunity cost of waiting rather than assuming that greater uncertainty automatically justifies delayed investment.

The fourth implication concerns the legal and informational foundations of valuation in Uzbekistan. The Law on Investments and Investment Activities, No. ZRU-598, provides the national framework for investment activity, while the Law on Accounting, No. ZRU-404, establishes the accounting and financial-reporting framework. The Law on the Securities Market, No. ZRU-387 of 3 June 2015, addresses the regulation of securities-market relations, including information disclosure and investor-related matters. These laws are relevant to the institutional conditions under which investment opportunities are evaluated, financed, and monitored.

Legal compliance, however, should not be confused with economic attractiveness. A legally compliant project may still have negative NPV, while a financially attractive forecast may be undermined by inadequate due diligence, unreliable accounting information, unresolved property rights, or contractual uncertainty. Legal and financial analysis should therefore be integrated during investment appraisal. Analysts should verify ownership, contractual obligations, tax assumptions, licensing requirements where applicable, and the legal status of material assets before assigning economic value to projected benefits.

A further issue is the treatment of risk in the discount rate. If a forecast already incorporates a lower probability-weighted cash flow because of currency depreciation, it may be inappropriate to add an arbitrary premium for precisely the same currency risk to WACC without explaining the adjustment. Such double counting can systematically undervalue investment opportunities. Conversely, ignoring a risk in both cash flows and the discount rate produces an overly optimistic estimate. The proposed model addresses this problem by documenting each material risk, identifying its principal transmission channel, and specifying whether it is reflected in the forecast, the discount rate, or a separate decision constraint.

The model also has limitations. Scenario analysis depends on the quality of assumptions and cannot predict every possible shock. Correlations between risk factors may change during crises,

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

while historical data may fail to represent structural changes in the economy. For privately held Uzbek enterprises, limited access to comparable-company information may also constrain the estimation of market-based discount rates. Accordingly, the model should be interpreted as a structured decision-support framework rather than a mechanism that produces a uniquely correct enterprise value.

Future empirical research should apply the model to a representative sample of Uzbek enterprises across manufacturing, trade, services, and infrastructure. Firm-level financial statements could be combined with industry indicators, financing data, and macroeconomic time series. Researchers could then test whether scenario-adjusted valuations predict subsequent financial distress, investment performance, or cash-flow deviations more effectively than conventional single-scenario valuations. Such research would enable the calibration of industry-specific risk parameters and provide evidence on the model's predictive accuracy.

CONCLUSION

This study develops a comprehensive framework for assessing enterprise value and investment risks under economic uncertainty, integrating discounted cash flow valuation, macroeconomic scenarios, financial resilience indicators, operational risk analysis, and risk-adjusted investment criteria. The central conclusion is that enterprise value should not be treated as an isolated numerical estimate derived from a single forecast. It should be interpreted as a conditional assessment of future economic benefits under explicit assumptions about operating performance, financing conditions, and external risks. The reliability of the estimate depends on the consistency of its cash-flow projections, discount rates, terminal growth assumptions, and treatment of uncertainty.

For enterprises operating in Uzbekistan, the framework is particularly relevant because investment decisions must account for nominal price changes, financing costs, exchange-rate exposure, operational vulnerabilities, and the national legal and financial-reporting environment. Official statistics demonstrate the scale of recent investment expansion, while macroeconomic indicators illustrate the importance of separating nominal growth from sustainable real value creation. The proposed model offers a practical basis for identifying investment opportunities, testing downside resilience, and improving the transparency of financial decisions. Its application can help enterprises identify the conditions under which a project creates value, the factors that could undermine its viability, and the managerial actions required to reduce exposure. Nevertheless, the model's effectiveness depends on reliable financial data, defensible assumptions, and regular recalibration as economic conditions change.

The principal contribution of the research is therefore methodological: it connects enterprise valuation and investment risk assessment within a unified decision-making framework. Further empirical testing using Uzbek enterprise-level data is necessary to establish its predictive performance, calibrate risk parameters, and determine its comparative advantages over conventional valuation approaches.

REFERENCES

1. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
2. Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2025, June 12). At the meeting on June 12, 2025, the Board of the Central Bank decided to keep the policy rate unchanged at 14 percent per annum. https://cbu.uz/en/monetary-policy/publications/press-releases/2519323/
3. Dixit, A. K., & Pindyck, R. S. (1994). Investment under uncertainty. Princeton University Press.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

4. International Accounting Standards Board. (n.d.). IFRS Accounting Standards. https://www.ifrs.org/issued-standards/list-of-standards/
5. Lintner, J. (1965). The valuation of risk assets and the selection of risky investments in stock portfolios and capital budgets. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 47(1), 13–37. https://doi.org/10.2307/1924119
6. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2015, June 3). Law No. ZRU-387 on the Securities Market. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/uz/acts/2662541
7. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2016, April 13). Law No. ZRU-404 on Accounting. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/docs/2931251
8. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2019, December 25). Law No. ZRU-598 on Investments and Investment Activities. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/ru/doc-passport/4664144
9. Sharpe, W. F. (1964). Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. The Journal of Finance, 19(3), 425–442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1964.tb02865.x
10. The National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2026). Investments in fixed assets: Annual statistical series. SIAT. https://siat.stat.uz/data/1928/
11. The National Statistics Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2026). Investments in fixed assets: Growth rate. SIAT. https://siat.stat.uz/data/1929/
12. World Bank. (2026). World Development Indicators: Uzbekistan. https://data.worldbank.org/country/uzbekistan