INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY AND ACADEMIC RESEARCH

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

ENHANCING THE ROLE OF ENTERPRISE VALUATION, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, AND FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY IN ATTRACTING FOREIGN INVESTMENT

Rafiyeva Zarina Xusanovna
Senior Lecturer, Department of 'Investment and Innovation',
Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service
Izzatov Alijon Azamat o'g'li
Student of Samarkand institute of economics and service
doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23688036

Abstract. This study examines how enterprise valuation, corporate governance, and financial transparency jointly influence foreign investment attraction. It develops an integrated analytical framework combining discounted cash flow valuation, relative valuation, governance assessment, and disclosure quality. Uzbekistan provides the institutional context, supported by official enterprise statistics and national investment legislation. The analysis demonstrates that reliable valuation and transparent reporting can reduce information asymmetry, improve investor confidence, and strengthen access to international capital. The study proposes a practical framework for integrating financial, governance, and transparency indicators into investment-readiness assessments.
Keywords: foreign investment, enterprise valuation, corporate governance, financial transparency, discounted cash flow, investment attractiveness, Uzbekistan.

Annotatsiya. Maqolada xorijiy investitsiyalarni jalb qilishda korxonalar qiymatini baholash, korporativ boshqaruv va moliyaviy shaffoflikning o'zaro bog'liqligi tahlil qilinadi. Diskontlangan pul oqimlari, qiyosiy baholash, boshqaruv sifati va axborotni oshkor etish ko'rsatkichlarini birlashtiruvchi yondashuv ishlab chiqiladi. O'zbekistonning rasmiy statistikasi va milliy qonunchiligi asosida investitsion jozibadorlikni oshirish imkoniyatlari o'rganiladi. Natijada korxonalarni investitsiyaga tayyorlash uchun integratsiyalashgan baholash modeli taklif etiladi.
Kalit so'zlar: xorijiy investitsiyalar, korxona qiymati, korporativ boshqaruv, moliyaviy shaffoflik, investitsion jozibadorlik, diskontlangan pul oqimlari, O'zbekiston.

Аннотация. В статье исследуется взаимосвязь оценки стоимости предприятий, корпоративного управления и финансовой прозрачности в процессе привлечения иностранных инвестиций. Разрабатывается интегрированный подход, объединяющий метод дисконтированных денежных потоков, сравнительную оценку, анализ качества корпоративного управления и раскрытия информации. На основе официальной статистики и законодательства Узбекистана рассматриваются механизмы повышения инвестиционной привлекательности предприятий. Предлагается комплексная модель оценки инвестиционной готовности компаний.
Ключевые слова: иностранные инвестиции, стоимость предприятия, корпоративное управление, финансовая прозрачность, инвестиционная привлекательность, дисконтированные денежные потоки, Узбекистан.

Introduction

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

Foreign investment is an important driver of capital accumulation, technological modernisation, productivity growth, employment creation, and integration into international markets. For developing economies, its contribution extends beyond the financing of physical assets. Foreign investors may introduce advanced management practices, international production standards, technological capabilities, export networks, and access to global value chains. However, the ability of an economy to attract sustainable foreign capital depends not only on macroeconomic conditions or investment incentives but also on the institutional and financial characteristics of individual enterprises.

Enterprise valuation, corporate governance, and financial transparency are closely interconnected determinants of investment attractiveness. Valuation provides an estimate of the economic benefits that an investor may receive from acquiring an ownership interest or financing a business. Corporate governance determines how investment decisions are made, how managers are monitored, and how the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders are protected. Financial transparency enables investors to assess whether reported performance, assets, liabilities, and expected cash flows accurately represent the enterprise's economic position.

The relationship among these factors is particularly important in markets where investors face differences in accounting practices, limited historical information, concentrated ownership, exchange-rate uncertainty, and inconsistent disclosure quality. When an investor cannot independently verify a company's financial statements or evaluate the effectiveness of its internal controls, the uncertainty surrounding its expected returns increases. Such uncertainty may lead to higher required rates of return, lower acquisition offers, stricter contractual conditions, or the complete rejection of an investment opportunity.

Uzbekistan provides a relevant context for examining these relationships. According to the National Statistics Committee, the country had 16,685 operating enterprises with foreign investment as of 1 July 2025, including 4,124 joint ventures and 12,561 enterprises with foreign ownership. The corresponding official release also indicated that the number of operating enterprises with foreign investment had increased approximately 1.3 times over the preceding five years. This expansion indicates the growing importance of foreign-capital participation in the national business environment. Nevertheless, an increase in the number of foreign-invested enterprises does not, by itself, establish that enterprise valuation practices, governance quality, or disclosure standards have improved proportionately. These dimensions require separate examination.

The legal framework includes the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Investments and Investment Activity," No. O'RQ-598 of 25 December 2019, which establishes the principal legal foundations for investment activity and investor protection. The Law "On Joint-Stock Companies and Protection of Shareholder Rights," No. 223-I of 26 April 1996, provides an important legal basis for shareholder rights and corporate governance in joint-stock companies. The official investment legislation portal also identifies the Civil Code, securities-market legislation, and the Law "On Special Economic Zones" among the relevant legal instruments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical relationship between enterprise valuation and foreign investment can be explained through several established approaches in financial economics and corporate governance. The discounted cash flow approach, associated with modern investment appraisal and valuation theory, considers the present value of future economic benefits generated by an enterprise. Its central advantage is that it focuses on the enterprise's ability to generate cash rather than relying exclusively on historical accounting profit. However, the reliability of the result

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

depends on the quality of forecasts, the consistency of financial assumptions, and the appropriateness of the discount rate.

The market-based approach evaluates an enterprise through comparison with similar businesses, using indicators such as enterprise value to EBITDA, price-to-earnings, and price-to-sales ratios. This method can provide an external reference point for investors, although differences in market liquidity, growth prospects, financial leverage, and country risk must be considered. A multiple observed in a developed financial market cannot automatically be transferred to a company operating in Uzbekistan without appropriate adjustments. The income, market, and asset-based approaches are therefore complementary. Income-based valuation estimates future economic benefits; market-based valuation reflects comparable transactions and market expectations; and asset-based valuation provides a reference grounded in the value of assets and liabilities. A robust investment assessment should reconcile these approaches rather than treat any single estimate as universally sufficient.

Corporate governance theory provides a second foundation. Jensen and Meckling explain how conflicts of interest between principals and agents can generate agency costs. Foreign shareholders may be particularly exposed to such costs when they have limited access to operational information or insufficient influence over management decisions. Weak oversight can permit inefficient investment, related-party transactions on unfavourable terms, excessive executive remuneration, or the diversion of corporate resources. Effective governance reduces these risks through independent oversight, clear allocation of responsibilities, reliable internal controls, protection of minority shareholders, and accountability for management decisions. The OECD's corporate governance principles similarly emphasise shareholder rights, disclosure, board responsibilities, and the role of stakeholders in supporting sustainable enterprise performance.

Financial transparency represents the informational dimension of this relationship. Healy and Palepu demonstrate the importance of corporate disclosure in addressing information problems between companies and capital-market participants. Investors need credible information about profitability, liquidity, leverage, ownership structures, related-party transactions, contingent liabilities, and strategic risks. Transparency should not be equated simply with the volume of information published. A large quantity of poorly organised or inconsistent data may impose additional analytical costs without meaningfully reducing uncertainty. Effective transparency requires information that is timely, comparable, understandable, sufficiently detailed, and independently verifiable.

These theoretical perspectives imply a reinforcing relationship. Transparent reporting improves the information available for valuation; credible valuation helps investors compare expected returns with risks; and strong governance increases confidence that management will use invested capital in accordance with agreed objectives. The relationship is also reciprocal. Access to foreign capital may encourage enterprises to adopt international accounting practices, establish independent audit arrangements, and strengthen governance structures. The extent of this improvement, however, depends on the conditions attached to financing and the quality of institutional enforcement.

METHODOLOGY

The research employs a qualitative analytical methodology based on legal-document analysis, official statistical interpretation, comparative financial analysis, and conceptual model development. The principal institutional context is Uzbekistan, while international corporate governance and valuation literature provides the analytical foundation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

Official enterprise statistics demonstrate the growing relevance of foreign capital to the national business environment. As reported by the National Statistics Committee, the number of operating enterprises with foreign investment reached 16,685 on 1 July 2025. The structure comprised 4,124 joint ventures and 12,561 enterprises with foreign ownership. The figures show that wholly foreign-owned enterprises represented approximately 75.3% of this group, while joint ventures accounted for approximately 24.7%. These figures are significant for enterprise valuation because foreign investment is not a single financing mechanism. Joint ventures require investors to assess both the underlying business and the governance arrangements between domestic and foreign partners. Wholly foreign-owned enterprises may have different ownership structures, reporting arrangements, and mechanisms for monitoring management. In both cases, the credibility of financial information remains fundamental to investment decisions.

A subsequent government report, published in December 2025, stated that Uzbekistan had 17,595 enterprises with foreign capital as of 1 November 2025. This total included 4,204 joint ventures and 13,391 wholly foreign-owned enterprises. Trade accounted for 6,286 enterprises, industry for 3,647, construction for 1,358, and information and communications for 1,354. China, Russia, and Türkiye were the leading partner countries by the number of enterprises, with 4,731, 3,177, and 2,090 enterprises, respectively.

The two statistical observations suggest continued expansion during 2025. They should nevertheless be interpreted as enterprise counts rather than direct measures of foreign investment value, capital inflows, or investment productivity. The number of registered or operating enterprises does not reveal the average size of investments, the amount of reinvested earnings, the survival rate of businesses, or the extent of technology transfer. For investment policy, this distinction is important. An economy can increase its number of foreign-invested enterprises while still experiencing weaknesses in capital allocation, productivity, or financial disclosure. Consequently, policy evaluation should combine enterprise counts with measures of realised investment, value added, employment, exports, investment survival, and corporate reporting quality.

The concentration of foreign-invested enterprises in particular regions and sectors also suggests that investment readiness may differ considerably across businesses. Enterprises operating in internationally integrated industries may already have experience with foreign reporting requirements, while smaller domestic businesses may lack audited financial statements, formal valuation models, or dedicated investor-relations functions. These differences support the need for a differentiated approach to improving enterprise readiness rather than applying an identical reporting model to every company regardless of size, legal form, and financing needs.

Foreign investors typically evaluate expected returns relative to the risks they must bear. Enterprise valuation converts operational forecasts, financial resources, competitive advantages, and risk expectations into an estimate that can inform investment negotiations. A reliable valuation should begin with a normalised assessment of historical performance. Reported earnings may be affected by one-off transactions, non-recurring expenses, unusual tax items, related-party pricing, or inconsistent accounting policies. Adjusting for these factors can help investors distinguish sustainable operating performance from temporary accounting outcomes.

Cash-flow analysis is especially relevant because accounting profit does not necessarily correspond to cash available for reinvestment or distribution. A company may report positive earnings while experiencing liquidity pressure because customers pay late, inventories accumulate, or capital expenditure requirements increase. Conversely, a growing enterprise may temporarily report low accounting profit while generating long-term economic value through investments in technology, distribution networks, or productive capacity. The challenge is more

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

pronounced for enterprises with limited historical data. New businesses, innovative firms, and companies undergoing restructuring may not have stable earnings or predictable cash flows. Their valuation therefore requires scenario analysis, probability-weighted forecasts, and explicit consideration of financing needs.

For example, an enterprise may prepare conservative, base, and optimistic projections based on alternative assumptions about sales growth, operating margins, exchange rates, input prices, and investment expenditure. Comparing these scenarios allows investors to identify the assumptions that have the greatest influence on enterprise value. Sensitivity analysis is particularly important when the cost of capital is uncertain. A relatively small increase in the discount rate can materially reduce present value when expected cash flows occur far in the future. This is especially relevant to infrastructure, manufacturing, and technology investments with substantial initial expenditure and long payback periods.

The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development's Uzbekistan Country Report (2025 Update) identifies board structure, transparency and disclosure, internal control, shareholder rights, and stakeholder institutions as central areas of corporate governance assessment. The report also discusses reforms relating to independent supervisory board members, disclosure obligations, and oversight responsibilities. It identifies implementation and disclosure gaps that remain relevant to the development of governance practices. This assessment illustrates an important distinction between formal regulation and actual corporate behaviour. A company may possess a supervisory board and formally adopted internal policies without demonstrating that these mechanisms operate effectively. Foreign investors therefore need evidence of implementation, including meeting records, audit findings, risk reports, compliance procedures, and documented responses to identified weaknesses.

Uzbekistan's Corporate Governance Code, approved in 2015 and subsequently published through the government's official portal, provides recommendations for improving corporate governance in joint-stock companies. The Code addresses matters including information policy, internal control, dividend policy, and the management of conflicts of interest.

For enterprises seeking foreign investment, applying the principles of this Code can help establish more predictable decision-making procedures. Companies should assess their actual practices against relevant recommendations and explain material deviations where appropriate. Governance quality also affects the cost of post-investment monitoring. If financial reporting is dependable and board oversight is effective, investors may need fewer costly verification procedures and may be more willing to delegate operational decisions to local management. Weak governance can produce the opposite outcome, leading to more restrictive covenants, additional reporting obligations, and closer supervision.

These effects influence not only the initial decision to invest but also the investor's willingness to provide additional financing, support expansion, or maintain a long-term partnership.

The preceding analysis indicates that valuation, governance, and transparency should be assessed jointly. Valuation quality depends on the reliability of financial information, while the credibility of that information depends partly on governance arrangements and internal controls. Governance, in turn, becomes more effective when performance indicators and financial reports allow decision-makers to monitor results.

Table 1

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

Integrated framework for improving enterprise attractiveness to foreign investors[1]

Dimension	Core assessment indicators	Main risk addressed	Expected investment benefit
Enterprise valuation	DCF forecasts, WACC assumptions, comparable-company multiples, asset valuation, sensitivity analysis	Overvaluation, unreliable forecasts, inappropriate pricing	More credible negotiations and improved capital allocation
Corporate governance	Board independence, shareholder rights, conflict-of-interest procedures, internal audit, risk oversight	Agency costs, misuse of assets, weak accountability	Greater investor confidence and lower monitoring costs
Financial transparency	Audited statements, accounting consistency, debt disclosure, related-party reporting, timely information	Information asymmetry, hidden liabilities, reporting uncertainty	Improved due diligence and comparability
Legal and regulatory compliance	Investment-law compliance, corporate documentation, tax records, ownership transparency	Legal disputes, compliance failures, uncertainty over investor rights	Lower transaction risk and clearer contractual protection
Risk management	Currency exposure, liquidity, debt maturity, scenario analysis, business continuity	Cash-flow volatility and unexpected losses	Better risk-adjusted valuation and stronger financing capacity
Digital information systems	Integrated accounting records, document controls, reporting automation, traceable approvals	Inconsistent records, reporting delays, operational errors	Faster verification and more efficient investor monitoring

The framework is intended as a diagnostic tool rather than a statistically validated scoring instrument. Its practical application should begin with a review of existing financial information and governance arrangements, followed by identification of material weaknesses and the preparation of an improvement plan. An enterprise seeking foreign capital should first establish a reliable historical financial database. This requires reconciling accounting records with bank transactions, tax filings, inventory records, contractual obligations, and management reports. Material discrepancies should be investigated before the valuation process begins.

The next stage involves preparing a defensible valuation model. Forecasts should be linked to operational assumptions, including sales volumes, prices, production capacity, labour costs, capital expenditure, and working-capital requirements. The model should clearly identify the source of each major assumption and distinguish observable evidence from management estimates. Governance improvements should proceed alongside financial preparation. Enterprises can clarify the responsibilities of decision-making bodies, establish documented conflict-of-interest procedures, strengthen internal audit, and introduce systematic risk reporting. For joint

[1] Author's work

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

ventures, the shareholder agreement should define decision rights, information access, dispute-resolution mechanisms, and procedures for additional financing.

Finally, the enterprise should establish a consistent disclosure process. Financial reports, valuation materials, ownership information, and risk assessments should be maintained in an organised data room accessible to authorised investors during due diligence. The sequence is important because a polished valuation report cannot compensate for unreliable accounting records, and transparent financial statements cannot fully offset unresolved governance conflicts. The investment-readiness process must address these weaknesses together.

Uzbekistan's investment legislation provides an institutional basis for attracting foreign capital. The Law "On Investments and Investment Activity" establishes the legal framework governing investment relationships and addresses investors' rights, guarantees, support mechanisms, and dispute-resolution arrangements. The law is therefore relevant to the legal dimension of investment readiness, although the protection available in a particular transaction must be assessed against the applicable provisions and circumstances.

The Law "On Joint-Stock Companies and Protection of Shareholder Rights" provides the corporate framework for shareholder participation, general meetings, supervisory boards, executive bodies, and minority shareholder protections. These mechanisms matter where foreign investors acquire equity in joint-stock companies. The Law "On Special Economic Zones," No. O'RQ-604 of 17 February 2020, provides a legal basis for special economic zones and their operating arrangements. Such zones may offer opportunities for investment projects, but incentives should be assessed in conjunction with underlying business economics rather than treated as substitutes for commercial viability.

From a valuation perspective, legal protection affects the expected distribution and security of economic benefits. Uncertainty regarding contractual enforcement, ownership rights, tax obligations, or regulatory compliance may affect projected cash flows or the risk premium applied by investors. Nevertheless, legal protections should not be converted into arbitrary numerical valuation adjustments without supporting evidence. Institutional development can improve investment outcomes when legal rules are complemented by accessible information, consistent enforcement, professional auditing, qualified corporate advisers, and predictable administrative procedures.

For Uzbekistan, the policy challenge is therefore not limited to attracting new foreign-invested enterprises. It also involves improving the quality of investment relationships, encouraging productive reinvestment, and enabling domestic enterprises to meet the information and governance standards expected by international capital providers. At the enterprise level, the first priority should be the development of a consistent valuation and reporting policy. Companies should maintain historical financial data, document forecast assumptions, evaluate financing alternatives, and periodically update their estimates of enterprise value. This can improve strategic decision-making even before external investors become involved.

The second priority is strengthening board oversight and internal control. Governance mechanisms should be designed around the company's ownership structure, size, operational complexity, and financing requirements. Smaller enterprises may not need the same governance architecture as large listed companies, but they still benefit from clear authority, reliable accounting, documented approvals, and transparent treatment of related-party transactions.

The third priority is developing investor-oriented disclosure. Enterprises should provide potential investors with consistent information about operating performance, capital expenditure, debt obligations, ownership, and major risks. The quality of this information can influence both the speed and the cost of due diligence.

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

At the policy level, public institutions and professional associations can support enterprises through practical valuation guidance, financial-reporting training, corporate governance education, and standardised investment-readiness assessments. These measures may be particularly valuable for medium-sized enterprises that possess growth opportunities but lack the resources to develop sophisticated investor-relations functions independently. A further priority is the development of comparable corporate information. When investors can compare financial indicators across companies and sectors, they can allocate capital more efficiently and distinguish stronger enterprises from those whose reported performance is difficult to verify.

The effectiveness of these reforms should be assessed using observable outcomes. Relevant indicators include the proportion of enterprises with independently audited financial statements, the timeliness of disclosure, the number of material reporting qualifications, the implementation of governance recommendations, the frequency of related-party disclosures, and the time required to complete investor due diligence. Investment outcomes should also be evaluated through the volume and composition of realised foreign investment, the survival and expansion of foreign-invested enterprises, productivity, export performance, and reinvestment. These indicators would allow future empirical research to distinguish improvements in institutional quality from changes driven by macroeconomic conditions or sector-specific opportunities.

The proposed framework consequently provides a foundation for both managerial reform and subsequent empirical investigation. It identifies the mechanisms through which valuation, governance, and transparency may influence investment decisions without assuming that the relationship is identical across every enterprise or industry.

Conclusion

The analysis indicates that these three dimensions operate as complementary components of investment readiness. Reliable valuation helps investors estimate expected economic benefits and compare investment opportunities. Effective corporate governance strengthens accountability, protects shareholder interests, and reduces the risks associated with managerial discretion. Financial transparency improves the quality of information available for due diligence and reduces uncertainty surrounding reported performance, liabilities, and future cash flows.

Official statistics demonstrate the increasing presence of foreign-invested enterprises in Uzbekistan. The National Statistics Committee reported 16,685 operating enterprises with foreign investment as of July 2025, while a later government report recorded 17,595 as of November 2025. These figures illustrate the expanding importance of foreign capital but do not, by themselves, establish improvements in valuation quality or governance effectiveness. The legal framework, including the Law “On Investments and Investment Activity,” the Law “On Joint-Stock Companies and Protection of Shareholder Rights,” and the national Corporate Governance Code, provides an important foundation for improving investor protection and corporate accountability. The practical effectiveness of these instruments depends on implementation, enforcement, and the quality of information available to investors.

The proposed integrated framework combines valuation methods, governance indicators, disclosure practices, legal compliance, risk management, and digital information systems. Its central implication is that enterprises should not approach valuation, governance, and transparency as separate compliance activities. Instead, they should integrate them into a continuous process of financial management, strategic decision-making, and investor communication. For corporate managers, this means establishing reliable financial records, documenting valuation assumptions, strengthening internal controls, and ensuring consistent disclosure. For policymakers, it means supporting proportionate governance standards, improving

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

reporting infrastructure, and evaluating investment policies through measures of investment quality and economic performance rather than enterprise counts alone.

The study is conceptual and does not establish causal effects through firm-level econometric testing. Future research could examine whether audited financial statements, independent board representation, disclosure quality, and valuation reliability are associated with higher foreign investment, more favourable financing conditions, or improved post-investment performance. Such research could use enterprise-level panel data and distinguish the effects of governance and transparency from firm size, profitability, sector, ownership structure, and country risk. Ultimately, sustainable foreign investment attraction depends not only on offering investment opportunities but also on demonstrating that enterprises create measurable economic value, manage capital responsibly, and provide credible information. Integrating valuation, corporate governance, and financial transparency can therefore strengthen investor confidence and contribute to a more competitive and institutionally resilient business environment in Uzbekistan.

References

1. Brealey, R. A., Myers, S. C., & Allen, F. (2020). Principles of corporate finance (13th ed.). McGraw Hill.
2. Damodaran, A. (2012). Investment valuation: Tools and techniques for determining the value of any asset (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
3. European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. (2025). Corporate governance in transition economies: Uzbekistan country report (2025 update). https://www.ebrd.com/content/dam/ebrd_dxp/assets/pdfs/legal-reform/corporate-governance/sector-assessment/u-w/2025%20Uzbekistan%20Corporate%20Governance%20Report.pdf
4. Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31(1–3), 405–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(01)00018-0
5. International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation. (n.d.). IFRS Accounting Standards. https://www.ifrs.org/issued-standards/list-of-standards/
6. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X
7. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). G20/OECD principles of corporate governance 2023. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/ed750b30-en
8. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi. (1996, 26-aprel). Aksiyadorlik jamiyatlari va aksiyadorlarning huquqlarini himoya qilish to‘g‘risidagi Qonun, 223-I-son. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/
9. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi. (2015, 31-dekabr). Korporativ boshqaruv kodeksi. Davlat aktivlarini boshqarish agentligi. https://gov.uz/oz/davaktiv/sections/view/6336
10. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi. (2019, 25-dekabr). Investitsiyalar va investitsiya faoliyati to‘g‘risidagi Qonun, O‘RQ-598-son. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/
11. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi. (2020, 17-fevral). Maxsus iqtisodiy zonalar to‘g‘risidagi Qonun, O‘RQ-604-son. LexUZ. https://lex.uz/
12. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Milliy statistika qo‘mitasi. (2025, 10-iyul). Information on the demography of enterprises and organizations in the Republic of Uzbekistan as of July 1, 2025. https://stat.uz/

ISSN: 2224-6878 | Pakistan Volume 6, No. 10.

IF: 14.91 | 2026 http://waerasia.org/index.php/ijtar

13. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Investitsiyalar, sanoat va savdo vazirligi. (2025, 5-dekabr). Number of foreign-invested enterprises in Uzbekistan surpasses 17,500. https://gov.uz/en/investmiit/news/view/108947
14. O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Investitsiyalarni rag‘batlantirish agentligi. (n.d.). Investitsiya faoliyatiga oid qonunchilik. https://invest.gov.uz/uz/guide/legal-framework